INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE DIAZOKETONE SYNTHESIS OF 3-METHYL-2-CYCLOPENTENONE

by

U.C. These

Robert Alan English UC 1963

非非非非非非

Senior Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Graduation

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
UNION COLLEGE
MAY, 1963

INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE DIAZOKETONE SYNTHESIS OF 3-METHYL-2-CYCLOPENTENONE

Robert Alan English

A thesis presented to the Department of Chemistry of Union College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science with a Major in Chemistry.

Approved by A. E. Aluffer

May 20, 1963

Acknowledgment

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the assistance which Professor

Howard E. Sheffer has given me throughout the year. As research

advisor, Professor Sheffer has often gone far beyond formal duty to

offer advice and assistance when it was needed.

I wish also to acknowledge the assistance given by the Chemistry Staff as a whole, and my fellow seniors, all of whom have contributed through the seminars, and many of whom have given outside help as well. Special thanks to Donald Hoster and William Thielking with whom my close association in the field of diazoketone research has been a pleasure.

Index

Introduction							-							Page 4	No.
THELOGICECTOR	*		*		*	*			10		-				
Ristorical .				*								*		5	
Experimental														7	
Discussion .					*		*				*			17	
Summary		*						•		*		*	*	18	
Bibliography				*				*			*			19	

Introduction

This research was carried out in order to determine the effects of both the etherate and anhydrous forms of BF3 catalyst upon 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten-2-one (I).

$$cH_2 = c - cH_2 - c - cH - N = N$$
 (I)

It was hoped that cyclization could be induced to occur due to the presence of the neighboring olefinic bond. Our proposed synthesis of the cyclic product, 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone, included the following steps:

A.
$$CH_2 = \dot{C} - CH_2 - Cl + Mg \frac{Et_2O}{Cn}$$
, CO_2 , $\frac{H^+}{H_2O}$ $CH_2 = \dot{C} - CH_2 - \dot{C} - OH$

B.
$$CH_2 = \dot{C} - CH_2 - \ddot{C} - OH + (COCL)_2 \xrightarrow{\text{benzene}} CH_2 = \dot{C} - CH_2 - \ddot{C} - CL$$

c.
$$cH_2 = \dot{c} - cH_2 - \ddot{c} - cI + \ddot{c}H_2 - N = N \longrightarrow cH_2 = \dot{c} - cH_2 - \ddot{c} - CH - N - N$$

3-Methyl-2-cyclopentenone, itself has been synthesized by a much more direct method than proposed here. (1)

Historical

A German chemist, Ludwig Wolff (11), is the first known to have investigated diazoketone reactions. Wolff found that diazoketones underwent either replacement or rearrangement, depending on the type of catalyst used, i.e.

$$R - C - CH - N = N + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{H_{2}SO_{14}} R - C - CH_{2} - OH + N_{2}$$

$$R - C - CH - N = N + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{AS_{2}O} R - CH_{2} - C - OH + N_{2}$$

It was the year 1935 before a really practical method of diazoketone synthesis was developed. At that time, Arndt and Eistert found the most effective method to be addition of diazomethane to an acid chloride. (12)

A. L. Wilds (8) found in 1948 that the rearrangement reaction of diazoketones occurred without catalyst in the presence of alcohols at high temperatures. In 1953, M. S. Newman, et.al., (4) obtained a ring closure using H₂SO₄ as catalyst. No rearrangement was reported.

$$N = N - CH_1 - C - CH_3$$

$$10\% H_2SO_4$$

$$10\% H_2SO_4$$

$$10\% H_2SO_4$$

$$(\triangle 5 min)$$

At Columbia in 1961, Stork and Ficinni (6) successfully obtained the non-aromatic bicycloheptanone-2 (4-1-0) with eleven hour's refluxing in the presence of copper-bronze catalyst.

A. L. Wilds and associates (10) effected ring closure of 1-diazo-3,3,3 triphemyl-2-propanone in 1962. Rearrangement occurred in the presence of γ -collidine, but in the presence of BF₃ etherate, closure was completed without rearrangement.

In 1962, Sheffer and Moore showed the effectiveness of BF₃ etherate in intramolecular cyclization. In contrast to Brönstead acids, the Lewis acid BF₃ furnishes no nucleophile to compete with neighboring nucleophilic groups present on the parent diazoketone. In the systems studied by Wilds, the presence of six ortho positions was an apparently favorable probability factor in determining the type of product. Sheffer and Moore, however, were unable to close a less probable (2 ortho-position) aromatic system (II), although they were

highly successful with a methoxy group present in the ortho-position of the ring (III).

Experimental

Preparation of 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid

The method of synthesis was that described by R. B. Wagner (7) for 3-methyl-3-buteroic acid.

Bayal malar quantities of 3-chloro-3-methylpropene and magnesium were reacted in dry ether for ten hours at room temperature with stirring. The reaction was carried out twice with no catalyst, and a third time with two grams of fine copper wire present. Copper was added due to low yields on the first and second trials. Wagner had used a copper vessel rather than glass. With copper present, the reaction was vigorous and an ice bath was necessary to bring the temperature below 30°C. In each run, a five-liter flask was used, equipped with stimer, thermometer, and reflux condenser.

Carbonations of the Grignards were performed by pouring the Grignards over crushed dry ice (20-fold excess) with stirring. The reaction was carried out over a four hour period in a ten-liter pyrex water bath, and stirred by hand with a large porcelain stirrer. When the dry ice was gone, excess hydrochloric acid was added (to pH 1.5) to hydrolize the complex to the acid.

Ether layer separation was performed by first saturating the aqueous layer with NaCl, then using a two-liter separatory funnel. In some cases, the ether layer was treated with numerous portions of low NaOH, then the alkaline layer scidified, salted, and extracted

again with cold ether. In other cases, the original ether layer was merely stripped leaving crude acid (later distilled) as residue.

Distillation of crude acids were performed under vacuum using a 100 ml capacity, six-inch Vigreux column with ground-glass connections. Fractions were collected in a rotating "cow-". Literature gave the boiling point of 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid at 5 mm as 68°. This was calculated to be approximately 84° at 15 mm.

The following table summarizes conditions and yields for the three runs.

0-1	0-2	0=3
100 g.	300 g.	300 g.
27 g.	81 g.	81 g.
1	3	3
20-30°	20-30°	20-35°
-		cn
3 lb.	10 lb.	10 16.
basic	strip	strip
4.5%	5.5%	14%
	100 g. 27 g. 1 20-30° - 3 lb. basic	100 g. 300 g. 27 g. 81 g. 1 3 20-30° 20-30° 3 lb. 10 lb. basic strip

The 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid (N.E. calc. 100) from the above three runs were combined and redistilled to give two fractions as follows:

Fraction	B ₁₅	wt.	N.E.	Purity by N.E.	gms Acid	Moles
1-1	80-83°	33•5 g	160	62%	21	0.21
1-2	83-85°	35.29	112	89%	31.5	0.32

Preparation of 3-Methyl-3-Butenoyl Chloride

Oxalyl chloride was used in preparing the olefinic acid chloride according to the method described by Wilds (9) and the original work of R. Adams (2).

Oxalyl chloride (50 gm) was added to acid fraction 1-1 in 200 m! of benzene, and allowed to stand in a 500 m! reaction flask (equipped with thermometer and reflux condenser) for two hours. Excess oxalyl chloride and benzene (2-8) were distilled from the mixture at atmospheric pressure. The fraction boiling above oxalyl chloride and benzene (82°) was distilled under vacuum to give 3-methyl-3-butencyl chloride (2+5; b₇₅ 50-54°) and excess acid (2-6; b₁₅ 80-95°). Fraction 2-5 was saved, and the recovered acid (2-6) was combined with acid fraction 1-2. The combined acids were then refluxed at 55-65° for three hours with 50 gm of fresh oxalyl chloride plus the recovered acid chloridebenzene fraction (2-8). The fraction of this run boiling between 49° and 56° at 75 mm. (2-2) was combined with fraction 2-5 to give 24.0 gm.
3-methyl-3-butenoic acid boiling between 49° and 56° at 75 mm.

Preparation of Diazomethane

To a mixture of 600 m & 30% NaOH, 450 m & 2-(2 ethoxyethoxy)-

ethanol and two liters of ether (at 5°C), was added 180 gms N, N-dinitroso -N, N-dimethyl terepthalamide. The mixture was then shaken and the flask heated by use of a heating mantle. The five-liter flask was equipped with a ground glass neck leading to a water condenser. At the end of the condenser a lengthened adaptor led below the surface of a small amount of ether in another five-liter flask, cooled in ice. The adaptor was equipped with a bleed valve to avoid backpressure at the end of the run. Since diazomethane is both toxic and explosive, all work was done in the hood.

Preparation of 1-Diazo-4-Methyl-4-Penten-2-One

The solution of diazomethane in ether was filtered, and 24 gms (0.2 moles) of 3-methyl-3-butenoyl chloride added at room temperature. Evolution of gas occurred, indicating reaction. The reaction mixture was left in the hood overnight.

Reaction of 1-Diazo-4-Methyl-4-Penten-2-One in Ether

The following day, 29 gms. of BF₃ etherate was added to the diazoketone in ether. Immediate reaction occurred with evolution of nitrogen. Collection of nitrogen (3.31) gave 70% of the volume expected for 0.2 moles. When the gas evolution diminished (about 1 hr.) the mixture was washed with 150 ml of water and 200 ml of NaHCO₃ to remove BF₃. These aqueous extractions were washed with ether several times to reclaim any water-soluble ketones. The total

ether layer was then stripped under vacuum (0° - 10° C) to a volume of around 75 ml .

A total of six fractions (5-1 to 5-6; 14.3 gms) were collected between the range of 28° at 50 mm. and 82° at 18 mm. The fractions boiling between 78° and 80° (5-4 and 5-5) corresponded to the literature value for 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone (b₁₅ 74°). Chromatography of all high-voiling fractions (5-2 through 5-6) on a Perkin-Elmer 154 Vapor Fractometer (column type R_X, 1 meter by 1/8", propylene glycol-UCON LB-550-X) showed four major constituents present in nearly equal proportions. The lowest boiling fraction (5-1) appeared also as an azeotrope in fraction 5-2, appearing to be essentially pure (96% by V.P.C.).

Practions 5-2 to 5-6 were redistilled using a 75 theoretical plate insulated column, equipped with a solenoid-controlled vapor takeoff. Due to unnoticed contamination of the column, however, about fifty percent of the product was lost in handling. Five fractions (7-1 to 7-5) were finally obtained, boiling between 75° and 82° at 18 mm. An attempt had been made to form semicarbazones of 5-1 and 5-3, but without success (lit. for 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone m. 220°C). So little product was left after distillation (4.32 g), that it was decided to go through the synthesis once more and combine products for final analysis.

3-Methyl-3-Butenoic Acid (Fourth Run)

The Grignard reaction was carried out as before; in the presence of copper catalyst. Instead of carbonating the mixture with dry ice itself, gas was generated over ether, dried, and bubbled through the Grignard in the original reaction flask. Ten pounds of CO, were used over a five-hour period with mechanical stirring. Work-up of the product by the base extraction method yielded no acid. Upon standing for more than a week over concentrated HCl, the previously extracted ether yielded a crystalline solid (8-1) when evaporated. The solid appeared in approximately 40% yield (70 gm.). Recrystallization from methanol gave a solid (8-3) melting at 64.5-65° (V.P.C. shows only 75% purity, however). Immediate work-ups of other runs had given no such solid, but gave high yields of an olefin (b. 110-1120) proposed as 2-5-dimethyl 1-5-hexadiene (lit. b 113-1140). This olefin was also present in the mother liquor yielding the above solid. Infra-red analysis of the liquor showed peaks corresponding to 2-5 dimethyl-1-5-hexadiene given in the literature (6.94, 7.24, 11.24)(3), of which the 11.2 peak was found missing in the spectrum of the solid. Since the 11.24 peak corresponds to the end vinyl grouping, it has been proposed that the solid be of cyclic structure.

3-Methyl-3-Butenoic Acid (Fifth Run)

This time solid CO₂ was used as well as copper catalyst as in the third run (O-3). From 300 g. of 3-chloro-3-methyl propene was produced

34.9 gm. of crude acid (Nos. 12-1 to 12-4, N.E. 193). Calculated 52% pure, the actual yield was 6.1%.

3-Methyl-3-Butenoyl Chloride (Second Rum)

The crude 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid, 100 ml of benzene,

50 gm. of oxalyl chloride, and 22.6 gm. of oxalyl chloride left over

from the first run (2-3) were refluxed for six hours at 60-70°C.

After distilling off the benzene at atmospheric pressure, the remainder

was distilled in the Vigreux column. Volitilization of the acid

chloride from the receiver flasks at low pressure resulted in a yield

of only 3.7 g. (No. 14-2; b 36-46°, 22-14 mm.) Having N.E. = 87

(calc. 45), the 3-methyl-3-butenoyl chloride was 52% pure. The

manometer trap yielded 20 gms. of a product which was redistilled to

give 10 gms. boiling 50-52° at 70 mm. (No. 16-2; N.E. 200; 20% pure).

1-Diazo-4-Methyl-4-Penten-2-One (Second Rum)

Fraction 14-2 was added to diazomethane in ether (prepared as before), and allowed to stand for two days. On the second day, fraction 16-2 was added. Reaction was noted with each addition.

Ether was stripped on the day following. After the separation of a few milliliters of water, the 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten-2-one portion was dried first over Na₂SO₄, then by benzene azeotrope. A brownish-red oily liquid remained, weighing 2.65 gms. (16-3). No

attempt was made at distillation of the oil due to the chance of decomposition. The product was stored in brown glass at 0°C.

Reaction of 1-Diazo-4-Methyl-4-Penten-2-One in Cyclohexane and Chloroform

It was decided to investigate the effect of BF3 upon the diazoketone in a solvent other than ether. In ether, the oxygen linkage can compete as a nucleophile, leading to the formation of an ethoxy ketone (Sheffer and Moore, ref. 5). In solvents such as cyclohexane and chloroform, no nucleophilic center is present to offer competition with the olefinic bond.

The reaction mixture consisted of 2.5 gms. 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten-2-one (16-3), 5 ml. cyclohexane, and 40 ml. chloroform. Anhydrous BF₃ was bubbled through the mixture contained in a two-foot by one-inch tube. Polymerization with evolution of heat was immediate, the polymer coating the walls of the tube. BF₃ was passed through until no more heat was evolved. An equal volume of water was shaken with the reaction mixture to remove BF₃, then the water extracted with chloroform to reclaim any water-soluble ketones. Distillation of the acetone-dissolved products yielded no fractions boiling higher than cyclohexane (b₇₆₀ 80°). The polymeric residue began to decompose around 150° at one mm. Hg.

Later Analysis

Since no more ketone material was obtained, further analysis was performed on fractions 7-1 to 7-5. A chromatographic preparation column of type "A" (diisodecyl phthalate resin) was tried for separation of products without success. We then returned to the previously-used "R" (polyglycol) column for analysis. A distinct increase in the percentage of fourth component was found with increasing boiling point of the fractions. Fraction 7-5 contained only the fourth component and wash ether as major components. This was run in the I.R. against ether to show peaks at 3µ (hydroxy), 6µ (keto), and 3.2µ, 6.2µ, 10.5µ and 11.3µ (vinyl).

The low-boiling azeotrope (5-1) was water-soluble, but showed no peak enhancement upon addition of water for V.P.C. analysis. It was found to freeze at -20 to -30°C, and showed I.R. peaks as above, with the addition of a strong peak at 9.3-9.8µ, tending to signify fluorine (9.6µ).

A sample of crude 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid (from combined fractions 12-1 to 12-4) was run on V.P.C. All major components appearing had retention times less than that of ether. Less than one percent of the components had retention times comparable with product fractions 5-1, or 7-1 through 7-5 at comparable temperature and carrier-gas flow (175°C, flow 3). It is believed that the low-

retention components are olefinic decomposition products or olefinic impurities originally present. No major component corresponding to the acid itself was noted over a two-hour period.

Discussion

Due to difficulties with the synthesis of 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid, analysis of final products has been limited both by time and by lack of sufficient product yields. Reports by Sheffer and Moore of fluoro, ethoxy, hydroxy and cyclic ketones lead us to speculate that these are present as components of 5-1, and 7-1 through 7-5. Infra-red data tends to support the presence of the fluoro and hydroxy ketones. Proof of such would be dependent upon the isolation and characterization of derivatives. There is also the possibility of isomeric forms accounting for peaks shown on V.P.C.

The reaction of 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten-2-one in the presence of anhydrous BF3 could stand further study. It is proposed that polymerization could be discouraged at low temperatures (below 0°C) in dilute solution of cyclohexane, and that cyclization would be enhanced under these conditions.

Summary

- Copper catalyst for the Grignard reaction of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene resulted in improved yields of 3-methyl-3-butenoic acid.
- 2. Crystalline solid, proposed to be of cyclic nature, was formed from the hydrolyzed Grignard upon standing over concentrated hydrochloric acid.
- 3. Boron trifluoride etherate catalyzed 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten2-one to form four components boiling within a seven-degree
 temperature range. A lower-boiling, immiscible fraction was
 also present.
- 4. Anhydrous BF₃ caused immediate polymerization of 1-diazo-4-methyl-4-penten-2-one in cyclohexane and chloroform. No other products were found present.

Bibliography

- Acheson, R.M., and Robinson, R.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 1127
 (1952).
- 2. Adems, R., and Which, L.H.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 42, 599 (1920).
- 3. Chemical Abstracts, 45, 753ld.
- 4. Newman, M.S., Eglinton, G., and Grolla, H.M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 349 (1953).
- 5. Sheffer, H.E., and Moore, J.A.; J. Org. Chem., 28, 129 (1963).
- 6. Stork, G., and Ficini, J.; Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 4678 (1961).
- 7. Wagner, R.B.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71, 3214 (1949).
- 8. Wilds, A.L., and Johnson, T.L.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1166, (1948).
- 9. Wilds, A.L., Shunk, C.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 2427 (1948).
- 10. Wilds, A.L., Van Der Berghe, J., et. al.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 1503 (1962).
- 11. Zollinger, H.; Azo and Diazo Chemistry, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1961), p. 89.
 - 12. Ibid., p. 87.