Equitable Income Growth Through Manufacturing Productivity Improvement

ABSTRACT

- The rapid industrialization occurring from the late 19th century into the early 20th century provides the opportunity to study the impacts it brought for American life
- Specifically, this paper investigates how changes in labor productivity from the rise of industrialization impacted total, personal, and corporate income per capita at the state level
- The results showcase equitable income growth, where workers are benefiting more from labor productivity increases than corporations
- Our data is from the Statistics of Income Report and the Statistical Abstract of the United States which is used to collect information spanning from 1899-1940 across 49 U.S. States

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Promoting Effect:

Positive Hypothesis Channels:

- Output Channel: Use economic reasoning to state that an increase in labor productivity will increase output, which subsequently increases income
- Human Capital Channel: Look at spillover effects such as an increase in skilled workers (Goldin and Katz, 1998) and continuous cycle of R&D with new technologies (Sokoloff and Khan, 1990)
- Economics of Scale Channel: Idea that firms larger in size have greater rates of productivity, resulting in higher wages paid (Miller, 1978)

Counteracting Effect:

Negative Hypothesis Channels:

Mass Production Channel: Idea that mass production decreases the need for skilled labor, which may be reflected by lower incomes (Mitchell, 2001)

Early 20th Century Industrialization:

Author: Leo Cavedagne, Faculty Advisor: Professor Carl Cheng



			DEC	σ ττ τς		
				ULIS		
	Tab	le 2: Regression resul	ts for total income p (2)	er capita using our ir (3)	dependent variables (4)	(5)
	1	log_totincpc	log totincpc	log_totincpc	log_totincpc	log_totincpc
	log_labprod	(12.93)	(11.26)	0.697** (2.49)	(7.14)	0.491*** (4.47)
	firmsize		0.00147*** (5.84)	0.00121* (1.90)	0.00116*** (3.55)	0.000188 (0.74)
cbanization _{s,t} +	capint1			0.00000942 (1.16)		
	capint2				-0.0848*** (-4.88)	0.00475 (0.35)
	urbanization					0.0235*** (16.41)
$\epsilon_{s,t}$ $\epsilon_{s,t}$	_cons	3.804*** (38.41)	3.581*** (34.61)	4.057*** (20.49)	4.277*** (27.36)	3.587*** (29.31)
	N adi R ²	535	535	98	245	196
	<i>t</i> sta	atistics in parentheses	0.262	0.247	0.280	0.709
$p < 0.10, \ p < 0.05, \ p < 0.01$						
	log_labprod	(1) log_personalincpc 1.177*** (13.53)	(2) log_personalincpc 1.089*** (12.19)	(3) log_personalincpc 0.826*** (2.94)	(4) log_personalincpc 1.059*** (7.45)	(5) log_personalincpc 0.496*** (4.84)
urbanization _{s,t} +	firmsize		0.000872*** (3.67)	0.000259 (0.40)	0.000628* (1.96)	-0.000346 (-1.45)
	capint1			0.00000517 (0.63)		
Min Max 11.5 2744	capint2				-0.0703*** (-4.13)	0.0180 (1.41)
6.04 692 0.123 2225 0.486 10.8	urbanization					0.0238*** (17.79)
10.5 943	_cons	3.578***	3.446***	3.971***	4.025***	3.355***
1.02 17.1	N odi P2	535	535	98	245	196
6.2 100	t stat	istics in parentheses	0.271	0.192	0.245	0.725
	p < 0.10, p < 0.05, p < 0.01					
	Tab	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	log laborod	log_corpincpc	log_corpincpc 0.933***	log_corpincpc 0.372	log_corpincpc 0.895***	log_corpincpc 0.398**
		(9.32)	(7.05)	(1.02)	(4.76)	(2.44)
	firmsize		0.00359*** (10.20)	0.00448*** (5.40)	0.00261*** (6.19)	0.00172*** (4.54)
	capint1			0.0000124 (1.17)		
	capint2				-0.133*** (-5.90)	-0.0289 (-1.43)
	urbanization					0.0242*** (11.35)
	_cons	2.135*** (14.59)	1.591*** (11.03)	1.850*** (7.16)	2.853*** (14.11)	1.936*** (10.65)
e Per Capita Graph	N	535	535	98	245	196
	t stat	istics in parentheses	0.278	0.369	0.297	0.607
	- <i>p</i> <	0.10, p < 0.05, p < 0	.01			
	CONCLUSION Our results show a statistically significant and positive relationship between labor productivity and total, personal, and corporate income pe					
capita. Personal income per capita has the highest coefficient, show						, showing
 Fitted values 	corporatio	ons.	more from th	ie increase in	l labor produ	cuvity than