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Abstract
Religious responses to environmental concerns are on the rise, and one area where faith-based environmental efforts may have particular promise in the Adirondacks is in environmental wilderness ministries. Increasingly, Christian camping and wilderness ministries have incorporated environmental themes to foster environmental citizenship. This development counters the tradition of environmentalism that emphasizes the alleged environmental negativity of Judeo-Christian views on nature, such as Roderick Nash’s popular indictment of biblical views in Wilderness and the American Mind. Biblical scholarship reveals a more positive legacy. As exemplified by Camp Fowler near Speculator, New York, environmental wilderness ministries foster a range of virtues conducive to sustainable community development and land stewardship.

Religious communities have become crucial players in local and global efforts to respond to environmental concerns, and faith-based environmental efforts may have particular promise in the Adirondacks. As an Adirondack pastor stated in these pages 10 years ago, churches are working to preserve both the community and the environment, making them one of the best institutions for supporting sustainable development and improving the lives of Adirondack residents, while preserving the unique natural environment of the area. Some environmentalists have traditionally criticized biblical environmental values, but recent initiatives to address climate change, environmental justice, and other ecological issues underscore just how prevalent religious attention to the natural world has become. Christian organizations and denominations have taken the lead in many of these areas. One genre of programs that show particular promise, especially in the Adirondacks, is Christian environmental wilderness ministries.

Wilderness ministries are an outgrowth of outdoor and camping ministries that have long been an important part of the American religious landscape. As city, state, and national nature parks were established to balance nineteenth and twentieth century development and industrialization of the continent, camping and retreat ministries evolved from their tent-revival precursors and became institutionalized as outlets for retreat, renewal, education, and recreation. With the rise of environmental concerns and the popularization of wilderness recreation in the 1960s and 1970s, many church camps developed wilderness programs. Some of these programs retain a focus on spiritual conversion, others highlight the role of adventure in spiritual formation more generally, and some pursue an ecological focus alongside these other goals. Ecologically speaking, this latter category is of most interest, particularly as it represents a transformative and ethically rooted source of environmental education. But notably, successful programs of this type often retain a primary focus on spiritual experience and relationship with God, mediated through adventure or retreat experiences in Christian community, and then integrates ecological questions and lessons accordingly. The National Council of Churches (NCC) has recently developed a wilderness study resource for individuals and congregations that follow a similar logic. The NCC resource encourages wilderness exploration and ministry in the tradition of Jesus and his disciples frequent retreats to wilderness, where thoughtful reflection can empower environmental awareness and action.

The potential for ecological wilderness ministries in the Adirondack Park seems high. No place in the eastern United States has better access to protected wild lands, nor as rich a wilderness history. In addition to local churches, the Park is home to many camp and retreat centers with the capacity for wilderness ministry. And as resources like the NCC’s wilderness primer multiply, churches and religious camps gain the support needed to add such
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programming to their repertoire. Thus
the infrastructure already exists to in-
corporate Christian environmental and
wilderness ministries. At the same time,
the unusual coexistence of private and
wild land in the Adirondacks, and the
management challenges that come with
it, suggest another advantage of church-
based environmental programs. Where
environmental advocacy efforts (like
other single-issue concerns) can become
narrow and polarizing, church-based en-
vironmental ministries are more likely
to be informed by and complement the churches’
other social and community improvement minis-
tries. The successful develop-
ment of environmental and wilderness ministries,
attuned in this way to complex social factors,
can strongly complement other efforts to establish
environmentally sustainable commu-
nities in the Adirondacks.

Some environmental advocates, espe-
cially those schooled in the tradition that
sees Judeo-Christian teaching as envi-
ronmentally antagonistic, may resist this
endorsement of religious communities
as partners in land stewardship. Some
might fear that biblical views are biased
toward development values over conserva-
tion values, or that biblical perspec-
tives make a poor foundation for land
stewardship ethics. For instance, the clas-
sic text on wilderness, Roderick Nash’s
Wilderness and the American Mind, leaves
the impression that biblical doctrine is
negatively disposed, if not hostile toward
wildness, and has been the major force
justifying disregard for wild land in the
Western world. Though much of Nash’s
teachings have been eclipsed, his claims
remain influential in environ-
mental preservation thinking in the
United States, and deserve a closer look
in order to address lingering skepticism.

Nash’s interpretation of biblical per-
spectives on land was remarkably
damning, and scholarly rebuttals such as Susan
Bratton’s thorough refutation have done
little to unseat the impressions left by
Nash. Yet Nash could only achieve such
a dramatically negative interpretation of
biblical traditions by selective reporting.
Nash began by suggesting that biblical
usage of the term “wilderness” typically
connotes a “wasteland,” when in fact the
only Hebrew term translated as wilder-
ness that shares roots with the concept of
“waste” is used less than one percent of the
time. Nash also curiously claimed
that already in Genesis the Bible estab-
lishes wilderness as the immoral, evil
place of sanctuary, where people draw
close to God, a place of testing to de-
velop humility, and a place of discipline
and spiritual formation. Many prophets
spend time in wilderness, and some call
the people to return to wilderness for
their own sake. But Nash’s conclusion to
this suggestive summary is that Hebrew
tradition had no fondness for wilderness
itself. Similarly Nash highlights the use
of wilderness in the New Testament era
to foster purity and simplicity, for sanctu-
ary and revitalization, and for testing, as
in Jesus’ preparation for ministry. But Nash again
judges these themes in a negative light, implying
wildness as a place of evil and hardship. These se-
lective readings seem odd
today, but they made per-
fact sense to readers in the
counter-cultural heyday of
1967, the same year that
Lynn White Jr. published his famous
thesis blaming Judeo-Christian values
for the modern ecological crisis. In fact,
Nash cited White to corroborate his own
interpretations, but White’s claims have
not held up to scrutiny, either. Indeed,
if Nash’s and White’s misapplied blame
is removed, these several gifts of wilder-
ness suggest much of the basis for bibli-
cally rooted wilderness ministries.

A particularly good example of a
biblically based wilderness ministry can
be found at Camp Fowler, a Reformed
Church of America denominational
camp located on Lake Sacandaga, near
Speculator, New York. Director Kent
Busman has been implementing an eco-
logically progressive vision for the camp
since becoming director in 1987. Fowler’s
wilderness programs contribute to a
holistic ecological and community ethos
based on Christian principles. Teen par-
ticipants in Fowler’s Outcamps program
engage in spiritual reflection as they
journey through the wilderness, learn-
ing about God and God’s creation, and
humans’ role as its stewards. Like the
Israelites finding their identity in the
Exodus, or Jesus demonstrating (and
solidifying) his character through wilderness experiences, wilderness ministry participants are empowered to live in a graceful relationship between people, land, and God, just as biblical covenants intended. These ideals are reflected in the character of the camp. Fowler employs solar panels, organic gardens, green purchasing, and composting to meet its material needs, teaching that “matter matters” but that human flourishing does not require every “bigger, better, and faster” product advertised by the media. Through such creation care ministries, living simply, and building community, Fowler demonstrates that the church brings good news to all creation, as commended in Mark 16:15, and hopes to encourage faithful lifestyles outside of camp.

Fowler’s appreciation of natural resources has a certain resonance in the Adirondacks, where so much of the American wilderness ethic was created and refined, but where the preservation of wild land is uniquely entwined with the presence of human communities. Adirondack resident and writer Bill McKibben describes a similar hopefulness in many examples of creative approaches to land and human community health in the Adirondacks and elsewhere, and commends such laboratories as signposts for the future.14 Fowler’s approach to land management, while respecting a relatively undisturbed place for wilderness, also evokes the gardener’s ethic described by Michael Pollan in his aptly titled work Second Nature,15 an ethic that points beyond old dichotomies between preserved land and developed land. Attending to the genius of a place like the Adirondacks, as Pollan would advise, suggests de-emphasizing agricultural development of marginal Adirondack farm lands, maintaining sustainable forestry, and cultivating a comparative advantage as a recreational and spiritual site.

Fowler is exemplary particularly in applying the spiritual advantages of wilderness ministry to transform the camp community and by extension, the communities of Fowler’s visitors. The same potential applies to local churches: wilderness ministries can help inspire a range of creation care actions, both locally and beyond.16 As land development and resource use increase, the challenge of protecting wild lands for their ecological, economic, recreational, spiritual, and aesthetic values becomes perennial. Local and global environmental issues will not go away. But churches in the Adirondacks are not “environmental organizations” with a single focus, rather they are places where people’s economic, social, political, spiritual, and recreational lives intersect. Churches are thus in a unique position to reflect upon and engage the relationships between the Adirondack economy, its wild resources, and the hope of sustainable communities, human and wild.

Wendell Berry’s remarks about the value of wilderness retreats are apt. Berry states:

We go to wilderness places to be restored, to be instructed in the natural economies of fertility and healing, to admire what we cannot make. Sometimes, as we find to our surprise, we go to be chastened or corrected. And we go in order to return with renewed knowledge by which to judge the health of our human economy and our dwelling places.17

The path to sustainable communities, regardless of the technical and managerial competence it will entail, will require spiritual sustenance, which religious communities are uniquely qualified to provide. The “promised land”—the desired goal—may not be something we can entirely envision in the present. As communities in the Adirondacks seek to flourish and endure, church-based environmental ministry can provide a compass to help orient and guide the journey.
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